# INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

#### MCDR inspection REPORT

#### Goa regional office

Mine file No : MAH/SDG/FE-6/GOA Mine code : 30MSH28004

(i) Name of the Inspecting : P02 ) PREM PRAKASH

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Regional Controller Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : S/SHRI. P. Sreenivasarao (Manager), Riyazahmed Barudw

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 23-AUG-22
(v) Prev.inspection date : 13-JUL-21

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : REDI BLOCK I 94.70.60HECT

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/585/2011

(c) Category : A Fully Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : MAHARASHTRA
District : SINDHUDURG

Village : REDI
Taluka : VENGURLA
Post office : REDI
Pin Code : 416517

FAX No. : 0831-2426487

E-mail : gogte.minerals@gmail.com

Phone : 0831-2407756

(f) Police Station : Redi

(g) First opening date : 01-JAN-58

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : VILLAGE - REDI, correspondance TALLIKA - VENCIPE

TALUKA - VENGURLA,

DISTRICT - SINDHUDURG

3. (a) Lease Number : MSH0040 (b) Lease area : 94.71

(c) Period of lease : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 01-NOV-56

4. Mineral worked : IRON ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : GOGTE MINERALS

Arvind B. Gogte GOGTE MINERALS 146, TILAKWADI,

BELGAUM KARNATAKA Phone:0831-2426487 FAX:0831-2426487

Owner : ARVIND B. GOGTE

GOGTE MINERALS 146, TILAKWADI, BELGAUM

KARNATAKA

Phone: 0831-2426487 FAX : 0831-2426487

Agent : SHRI. V.NARAYAN PRASAD

REDI IRON ORE MINES, SINDHUDURG MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX:

Mining Engineer

Name : R. Purushothaman, Full Time

Qualification : B. E. (Mining)

Appointment/ : 14-AUG-12

Termination date

Geologist

Name : Riyazahmed Barudwale, Full Time

Qualification : MSc. Geologogy

Appointment/ : 25-FEB-19

Termination date

Manager

Name : P Sreenivasha Rao

Qualification : BE-Mining Appointment/ : 21-DEC-18

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 27-JUL-07 Plan/Scheme of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 25-FEB-13

MP modif under MCR 1960 19-JAN-16
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 31-MAR-20
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 12-NOV-20
MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 10-MAR-21
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 23-MAR-22

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

## Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	Nil	Nil	-
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	06 No. of Boreholes	06 No. of Boreholes(416m)	-
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	-	In house Rs. 5.7 lakh	-
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	-	About 1.0 (Ha)	Balance About 58.5 Ha. ML area reported as Non-Mineralized
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	-	(111)= 4.85 million tonnes	As per Annual Return submitted for FY 2021-22
1f	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc	-	_	Exploration has been carried out as per proposal

# Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	& E 22 to	N200 to N 610 & E 22 to E 431	-
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Yes	Yes	-
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:3.5	1:4.15 (including backlog of previous year)	As reported, Backlog waste (OB) of Previous Financial year 2020-21 covered/removed in the Financial year 2021-22
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	Nil	Nil	-

2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	1312636 tonnes	1527411	tonnes	As per Annual Return submitted for FY 2021-22
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc		-		Development works was lagging behind as less waste/OB removal was carried out in the year 2020-21 than proposed, Hence, the same has been reported covered in the Financial year 2021-22

## Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	One	One	-
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	368000 tonnes.	367954.91 tonnes.	-
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	100 %	100 %	-
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	NIL	NIL	-
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	-	-	-
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	NIL	NIL	-
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	-	-	-
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanised	Mechanised	_

3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	-	-	_
3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Not proposed	NA	-
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	YES	YES	-
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	YES	YES	-
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	31.0412 на.	31.0412 Ha.	-
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	1:3.5	1:4.15 (including backlog of previous year)	Te:Te
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	0412 Ha, waste disposal=30.40 8 Ha,	Excavation=30.0412 Ha, waste disposal=30.408 Ha, Infrastructure & roads=5.101 ha, others=6.524 Ha.	As per Annual Return submitted for FY 2021-22
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2017-18= 3,68,000 tonnes 2018-19 = 3,68,000 tonnes 2019-20 = 3,68,000 tonnes 2020-21 = 3,68,000 tonnes 2021-22 = 3,68,000 tonnes	2017-18 = 3, 67,845.76 tonnes 2018-19 = 3,67,962.63 tonnes 2019-20 = 3,67,699.59 tonnes 2020 -21 = 3,67,964.73 tonnes 2021-22=3,67,954.91 tonnes	Production figure of ROM mineral during last five-year period is given as reported in Annual Returns & approved Mining Plans

3q General remarks of inspecting
 officers on
 method of mining

Mechanized open cast mining method being followed with Excavator & dumper combination

#### Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	Yes	Yes	-
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	Within lease	Within lease	-
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	6	Lease area = 6 Out Side Lease =0	-
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	None	None	-
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	5 (WML)	5 (WML)	-
4f	Number of dead dumps.	01	01	-
4g	Number of dumps established.	-	06	Old Dumps
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	Yes	Yes	-
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	350 mts.	350 mts.	-
4j	Number of settling ponds	NIL	8 nos.	-
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management	-	-	Waste dumping has been carried out as approved in Mining Plan

#### Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	NA	NA	-
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	NIL	NIL	-
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	NIL	NIL	-
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	NIL	NIL	-
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.	_	-	Mineral has not been exhausted completely from any part of the lease area, hence backfilling/ reclamation of mined out area has not envisaged in approved Mining Plan.

#### Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	-	Yes	_
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	Not proposed	Not Applicable	-
6c	afforestation done (ha).	Not proposed	Not Applicable	-
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	Not proposed	Not Applicable	-
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	Not proposed	Not Applicable	-

6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	Not proposed	Not Applicable	-
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Not proposed	Not Applicable	-
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D	Not proposed	Not Applicable	_
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	Not proposed	Not Applicable	_
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area	Not proposed	Not Applicable	_
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	Not proposed	Not Applicable	_
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	Not proposed	Not Applicable	_
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	14000	26460	(2021-22) Plantation of 14,160 nos. carried out in 5.6 Ha as proposed in Mining Plan. Additional 12300 saplings were reported planted in gap filling Total plantation reported done as 26460 nos.

6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	5.60 На	5.60 На	-
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	Plantation	Plantation	-
6p	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)		Regular Environment Monitoring being carried out in periodic basis.	-
6q	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.	-	_	Rehabilitation of waste dumps through Plantation has been carried out.

## Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Grade wise sorting within lease area		-
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Mechanical	Mechanical	-
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	yes	yes	-
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	Dry Crushing/ Screening	Dry Crushing/ Screening	-
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues	-	-	Dry Crushing/Screening being carried out for Grade wise sorting of ore

#### Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Not proposed	Not Applicable	-
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not proposed	Not Applicable	-
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Yes	Yes	-
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Not proposed	Nil	_
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	waste Dump rehabilitation proposed by plantation	About 111092 nos. of plantation reported carried out over the waste Dumps.	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	-	As reported Plantation carried out in FY 2021- 22 = 26460 plants Cumulative = 111092 plants	_
8g	Survival rate	_	70%	-
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Proposed	Water sprinkling on haul roads being carried out by two water tankers and by Navis Mist Spray and Sprinklers along the Main transport road	_

8i General remarks of inspecting
officer on
aesthetic beauty
in and around
mines area

Water tankers & Mist spray are deployed/installed for dust suppression. Aesthetic beauty in and around mines is good.

### Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	_	MR Submitted up to = July 2022 AR Submitted for FY 2021-22	-
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer - Mr. Digvijay Pednekar Geologist - Mr. Riyazahmed Barudwale Manager - Mr. P Sreenivasa Rao	Employed	
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	O/C: 31.041 Ha Reclamation/Re habilitated: - 0	Reclamation/Rehabilitate	As per Annual Return submitted for FY 2021-22
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	26460 plants	26460 plants	As per Annual Return submitted for FY 2021-22
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	NIL	-	-

9£ Scrutiny of ROM: 27216.83 ROM: 27216.83 Tonnes As per Annual Annual return on Tonnes Below 55% Lumps: 3483.29 Return submitted ROM stock and/or Below 55% for FY 2021-22 Tonnes Lumps: 3483.29 55-58% Lumps: 10552.21 graded ore Tonnes Tonnes 55-58% Lumps: 58-60% Lumps: 139.74 10552.21 Tonnes Tonnes 55-58% Fines: 1808.47 58-60% Lumps: Tonnes 139.74 Tonnes 58-60% Fines: 2142.14 55-58% Fines: Tonnes 1808.47 Tonnes 58-60% Fines: 2142.14 Tonnes 9g Scrutiny of Grade % Fe Grade % Fe Lumps Ex-Mine As per Annual Annual return on Lumps Ex-Mine Price (Rs.) Return submitted sale value, Ex. Price (Rs.) 55-58% 1904.09 for FY 2021-22 Mine price and 55-58% 1904.09 58%-60% 2899.98 production cost 58%-60% Grade % Fe Fines Ex-Mine 2899.98 Price (Rs.) Grade % Fe Below 55-58% 1597.52 Fines Ex-Mine 58%-60% 3042.31 Price (Rs.) Below 55-58% Cost of Production = 1597.52 1495.90 Rupees 58%-60% 3042.31 Cost of Production = 1495.90 Rupees 9h Scrutiny of Value of Fixed Value of Fixed Assets = As per Annual Annual return on Assets = 49649637 Rupees Return submitted for FY 2021-22 fixed assets 49649637 Rupees

9k Scrutiny of mining machineries

Sr.No. HEMMs detail as Annual return on HP/Cu.Mtr Type furnished are deployed of Machine

1 410 HP Dozer 2 183 HP Dozer 3 2.450 CuM Back Hoe 4 1.500 CuM Back Hoe 5 2.800 CuM Wheelloader 6 8.000 CuM Tipper 7 35.00 Tonne

Dumper 8 25.00 CuM Dumper 9 9000.00 Litres Water Tanker 10 400.000 Others 11 1.700 CuM Wheelloader

12 5000 LMN Pumps (NonElect) 13 8333 LMN

Pumps

(Electrical)

PAGE: 14

Issued on Compliance on

Details of violations observed during violation pointed out	ng current inspection and compliance position of	
Violation observed	Show couse position	

Rule NO.

Date : (PREM PRAKASH)

Issued on Compliance on

Rule NO.

Indian Bureau of Mines